

CONTENTS

	Page
Preface by W.D. Heran	i
Introduction to Geophysical Deposit Models	
Introduction	1
Acknowledgments	3
Format of the Models	3
Geophysical methods	5
Introduction	6
Gravity	13
Magnetic	17
Gamma-ray methods	18
Seismic methods	18
Thermal methods	19
Electrical methods	21
Self potential	21
Induced polarization	23
Mise-a-la-masse	23
Galvanic resistivity	24
Electromagnetic	25
Remote sensing	25
Other methods	26
Physical properties of host and cover rocks	
Intro	26
Density	26
Porosity	29
Magnetic susceptibility and remanence	32
Seismic velocity	38
Electrical properties	44
Resistivity	44
IP effect	52
Electrokinetic coupling coefficient	58
Optical properties	
Spectral reflectance	58
Thermal properties	
Thermal conductivity and inertia	60
Heat sources	64
Radioelement content	66
References	70-78
A Catalogue of Selected Geophysical Deposit models	79
Deposits related to alkaline intrusions	
Geophysical model of carbonatites, Cox and Singer Model 10 by D.B. Hoover	80
Geophysical model of diamond pipes, Cox and Singer Model 12 by D.B. Hoover and D.L. Campbell	85
Deposits related to felsic phanerocrystalline intrusive rocks	
Geophysical model of tin skarn and related deposits, Cox and Singer models 14b tin skarn, 14c replacement tin, 15b tin veins and 15c tin greisens by D.B. Hoover and D.H. Knepper	89

Contents (continued)

	Page
Deposits related to subaerial felsic to mafic extrusive rocks	
Geophysical model of hot springs Au-Ag, Cox and Singer model 25a by W.D. Heran	95
Geophysical model of Creede, Comstock, Sado, Goldfield and related epithermal precious metal deposits, Cox and Singer models 25b Creede, 25c Comstock, 25d Sado, and 25e quartz-alunite Au by D. Klein and V. Bankey	98
Geophysical model of carbonate-hosted Au-Ag, Cox and Singer model 26a by W.D. Heran and D.B. Hoover	107
Deposits in clastic sedimentary rocks	
Geophysical model of Olympic Dam Cu-U-Au, Cox and Singer model 29B by D.B. Hoover and L.E. Cordell	112
Deposits related to regionally metamorphosed rocks	
Geophysical model of low sulfide Au-quartz veins, Cox and Singer model 36a by W.D. Heran	116
Geophysical model of Homestake Au, Cox and Singer model 36b by W.D. Heran	119
Deposits related to surficial processes and unconformities	
Geophysical model of placer Au-PGE and PGE-Au, Cox and Singer models 39a, and 39 b by W.D. Heran and W. Wojniak	126

List of Tables

Table 1.	Chart identifying the various geophysical methods with examples for each method of its application to direct and indirect exploration	7-11
Table 2.	Summary of dry bulk densities and porosities of selected metamorphic rocks from Johnson (1983)	29
Table 3.	Ranges of intergranular and joint porosity for several rock types. Data from Keller and Frischknecht (1966)	31
Table 4.	Selected values of the Koenigsberger ratio Q for various rock types, from Carmichael (1982) and Kuz'micheva and Diomidova (1968)	41
Table 5.	Seismic p-wave velocities for selected igneous rocks as a function of water saturation (adapted from Christensen, 1982)	44
Table 6.	Ranges of densities, seismic p-wave velocities, and S-wave velocities for various ores and ore minerals adapted from Woeber and others (1963) and Christensen (1982)	47
Table 7.	Connate water average resistivities from various regions and lithologies (from Keller and Frischknecht, 1966)	49
Table 8.	Thermal conductivities for various sediments as a function of water content (from Clark, 1966)	63
Table 9.	Average radiogenic heat production for various types of rocks. Compositional data from Vavilin and others (1982)	65
Table 10.	Radioelements content of selected USGS rock standards and of other rocks. Data from Van Schmus (1984)	66

Figure 1. Graph showing the maximum gravity anomaly due to a spherical body of chromite, 4.0 gm/cm^3 in a 2.67 gm/cm^3 host as a function of depth of burial for bodies of 0.0022M, 0.02M, and 0.2M tonnes. Size range of ore bodies represent the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of major podiform chromite deposits from Singer and others (1986)	14
Figure 2. Graph showing the maximum gravity anomaly due to a spherical body of bauxite, 2.45 gm/cm^3 in a 2.55 gm/cm^3 host as a function of depth of burial for bodies of 31M, 23M, and 170M tonnes. Size range of ore bodies represent the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of karst bauxite deposits from Mosier (1986)	15
Figure 3. Diagram showing the five principal electrical methods and their source phenomena	20
Figure 4. Tree diagram showing a classification of electromagnetic methods, and some of the techniques belonging to each branch	22
Figure 5. Diagram showing ranges of wet and dry bulk densities and porosity for various sedimentary rocks. Reference sources are 1. Telford and others, 1976; 2. Jakosky, 1950; and 3. Fedynskiy, 1967	27
Figure 6. Diagram showing ranges of bulk densities for 13 different igneous rocks. Reference sources are 1. Daly and others, 1966; 2. Telford and others, 1976; 3. Johnson and Olhoeft, 1984; and 4. Mironov, 1972	28
Figure 7. Diagram showing ranges of bulk densities for 9 different metamorphic rock types. Reference sources are 1. Daly and others, 1966; 2. Telford and others, 1976; 3. Johnson and Olhoeft, 1984; and 4. Mironov, 1972	30
Figure 8. Diagram showing ranges of porosities for sedimentary rocks from Daly and others (1966)	33
Figures 9a and b. Measured porosity and permeability on a suit of a, igneous rocks, and b, sedimentary rocks adopted from Johnson (1983)	34
Figure 10. Curves showing empirically derived relationship between magnetic susceptibility and magnetite content from 1. Mooney and Bleifuss, 1953; 2. Balsley and Buddington, 1958; 3. Bath, 1962 or Jahren 1963; and 4. Klichnikov and Benevolenskiy, 1970	36
Figure 11. Diagram showing ranges of susceptibilities for various igneous rocks. Reference sources are 1. Telford and others, 1976; 2. Carmichael, 1982; and 3. Fedynskiy, 1976. A bar on the data of Telford and others (1976) indicates the average value.	37
Figure 12. Diagram showing ranges of susceptibilities for various sedimentary rocks. Reference sources are 1. Telford and others, 1976; 2. Carmichael, 1982; 3. Fedynskiy, 1967; and 4. Grant and West (1965)	39
Figure 13. Diagram showing ranges of susceptibilities for eight different ores. Reference sources are Carmichael (1982) and Parasnis (1966)	40
Figure 14. Graph showing empirical relationships between seismic p-wave velocity and rock bulk density from 1. Drake (Grant and West, 1965); 2. Gardner and others (1974); 3. Puzyrev (Fedynskiy, 1967); and Urupov (Fedynskiy, 1967).	43

Figure 15. Range of p-wave velocities for selected igneous and metamorphic rocks. Reference sources are 1. Press (1966), 2. Fedynskiy (1967); and 3. Christensen (1982)	45
Figure 16. Range of p-wave velocities for selected sedimentary rocks. Reference sources are 1. Press (1966); 2. Fedynskiy (1967) and 3. Grant and West (1965).	46
Figure 17. Distribution diagrams of resistivity values for several types of rocks from Sumner (1976) and Grant and West (1965)	48
Figure 18. Diagram showing variation of resistivity as a function of age for marine and terrestrial sediments, extrusive and intrusive igneous rocks and for chemical sediments (from Keller and Frischknecht, 1966) . . .	51
Figure 19. Ranges of resistivities for selected sedimentary rocks from Fedynskiy (1967) and Telford and others (1976)	54
Figure 20. Ranges of resistivities for selected crystalline rocks from Fedynskiy (1967) and Telford and others (1976)	53
Figure 21. Range of IP response shown as metal factor for several types of Rocks and ores from laboratory and field measurements from Madden and Marshall (1959).	57
Figure 22. Electrokinetic coupling coefficients for selected lithologies from Johnson (1983). The tick indicates the mean for each lithology, and the bar extends one standard deviation on each side	59
Figure 23. A. Spectral curves of common minerals often associated with hydrothermally altered rocks, showing the locations of the Landsat Thematic Mapper spectral bands. The curves are offset vertically to allow curve stacking. From R. Clark (U.S. Geological Survey, unpublished data).	61
B. A method of grouping the minerals based on the basic shape of their reflectance curves	61
Figure 24. Thermal conductivities for selected sedimentary rocks from Clark (1966)	62
Figure 25. Radioelement contents reported for a variety of lithologies from 1. Wallenberg and Smith (1982) and 2. Hansen (1980). For each type of lithology the elements are in the order top to bottom, K, U, Th. The small vertical bar indicates the mean value	68